



March 22, 2000

Mr. Jesús Toscano, Jr.
Administrative Assistant City Attorney
City of Dallas
City Hall
Dallas, Texas 75201

OR2000-1122

Dear Mr. Toscano:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 134184.

The City of Dallas (the "city") received a request for records relating to enforcement of the city's valet parking service and off-street parking code. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.107 of the Government Code. You have submitted the information you seek to withhold as Exhibits B, C, and D. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.107(1) excepts information that an attorney cannot disclose because of a duty to his client. In Open Records Decision No. 574 (1990), this office concluded that section 552.107 excepts from public disclosure only "privileged information," that is, information that reflects either confidential communications from the client to the attorney or the attorney's legal advice or opinions; it does not apply to all client information held by a governmental body's attorney. *Id.* at 5. When communications from attorney to client do not reveal the client's communications to the attorney, section 552.107 protects them only to the extent that such communications reveal the attorney's legal opinion or advice. *Id.* at 3. In addition, basically factual communications from attorney to client, or between attorneys representing the client, are not protected. *Id.*

You explain that all of the submitted information contains legal advice from the city's attorneys. We have reviewed the submitted information and agree that Exhibits B and C consist solely of the legal opinions and advice provided to the city by its attorneys. The

documents consist of legal memoranda prepared by the city's attorneys. The Zoning Interpretation Committee Meeting record, exhibit D, however, is not protected by section 552.107. Exhibit D is a record or minutes of a meeting conducted by the city to discuss city policy. The document is a recitation of the committee's discussion and conclusions. We do not believe this document is either a client communication or reflects the city attorneys' legal advice or opinions. We do not believe the document is protected under the attorney client privilege. *See* Tex. R. Evid. 503. Therefore, you may withhold Exhibits B and C, but you must release Exhibit D.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for

contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Don Ballard". The signature is written in a cursive, slightly slanted style.

Don Ballard
Assistant Attorney General
Deputy Chief, Open Records Division

JDB/CHS/ljp

Ref: ID# 134184

Encl. Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Avi Adelman
The Barking Dogs of Lower Greenville
P.O. Box 140396
Dallas, Texas 75214
(w/o enclosures)